# Fisheries Economics of the United States 2011 <br> Economics and Sociocultural Status and Trends Series 

U.S. Department of Commerce

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration National Marine Fisheries Service NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-F/SPO-128 December 2012


# Fisheries Economics of the United States, 2011 

Economics and Social Analysis Division
Office of Science and Technology
National Marine Fisheries Service
1315 East-West Highway, 12th floor
Silver Spring, MD 20910

NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-F/SPO-128
December 2012
U.S. Department of Commerce

Rebecca Blank, Acting Secretary of Commerce
National Ocean and Atmospheric Administration
Jane Lubchenco, Ph.D., Administrator of NOAA

National Marine Fisheries Service
Eric Schwaab, Assistant Administrator for Fisheries

## Suggested Citation:

National Marine Fisheries Service. 2012. Fisheries Economics of the United States, 2011. U.S. Dept. Commerce, NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-F/SPO-128, 175p. Available at: https://www.st.nmfs.noaa.gov/st5/publication/index.html.

## A copy of this report may be obtained from:

Economics and Social Analysis Division
Office of Science and Technology
National Marine Fisheries Service
1315 East-West Highway, 12th floor
Silver Spring, MD 20910

## Or online at:

https://www.st.nmfs.noaa.gov/st5/publication/index.html

Front cover photo: Shem Creek, South Carolina (photo credit: Amber Von Harten)
Inside cover photo: Nanticoke River, Maryland (photo credit: Sean Howard)

## Contents

Preface ..... v
In Memory ..... vii
National Overview ..... 1
United States Summary ..... 2
United States Tables ..... 13
North Pacific ..... 16
North Pacific Summary ..... 17
Alaska Tables ..... 21
Pacific ..... 24
Pacific Summary ..... 25
Pacific Region Tables ..... 30
California Tables ..... 32
Oregon Tables ..... 35
Washington Tables ..... 38
Western Pacific ..... 42
Western Pacific Summary ..... 43
Hawai'i Tables ..... 47
New England ..... 50
New England Summary ..... 51
New England Region Tables ..... 55
Connecticut Tables ..... 57
Maine Tables ..... 60
Massachusetts Tables ..... 63
New Hampshire Tables ..... 66
Rhode Island Tables ..... 69
Mid-Atlantic ..... 72
Mid-Atlantic Summary ..... 73
Mid-Atlantic Region Tables ..... 77
Delaware Tables ..... 79
Maryland Tables ..... 82
New Jersey Tables ..... 85
New York Tables ..... 88
Virginia Tables ..... 91
South Atlantic ..... 94
South Atlantic Summary ..... 95
South Atlantic Region Tables ..... 99
East Florida Tables ..... 101
Georgia Tables ..... 104
North Carolina Tables ..... 107
South Carolina Tables ..... 110
Gulf of Mexico ..... 114
Gulf of Mexico Summary ..... 115
Gulf of Mexico Region Tables ..... 120
Alabama Tables ..... 122
West Florida Tables ..... 125
Louisiana Tables ..... 128
Mississippi Tables ..... 131
Texas Tables ..... 134
Data Sources ..... 138
Publications ..... 142
Resources ..... 161
Glossary ..... 167

## Preface

## Fisheries Economics of the U.S., 2011

Fisheries Economics of the U.S., 2011 is the sixth volume in this annual series, which is intended to provide the public with easily accessible economic information about the Nation's commercial and recreational fishing activities, and fishing-related industries. This year's report covers the years 2002 to 2011 and provides descriptive statistics for the following categories: economic impacts of the seafood industry, commercial fisheries landings, revenue, and price trends; angler expenditures and economic impacts of recreational fishing, recreational fishing catch, effort, and participation rates; and employer and non-employer establishment, payroll, employees, and annual receipt information for fishing-related industries.

## Sources of Data

Information in this report came from many sources. Commercial landings, revenue, and price data, and recreational fishing effort and participation data was primarily obtained from the Fisheries Statistics Division, Office of Science and Technology, NOAA Fisheries. Other data sources included the: Alaska Fisheries Science Center, NOAA Fisheries; Alaska Department of Fish and Game; California Department of Fish and Game; Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife; Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife; the Pacific Coast Fisheries Information Network (PacFIN); Texas Department of Parks and Wildlife Department; and Western Pacific Fisheries Information Network (WPacFIN). Economic impacts from the commercial fishing industry and recreational fisheries are from two separate national IMPLAN models of the Economics and Sociocultural Analysis Division, Office of Science and Technology, NOAA Fisheries. Fishing related industry information was obtained from the: U.S. Census Bureau, Bureau of Economic Analysis, and Bureau of Labor Statistics.
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Many NOAA Fisheries staff in the regional Fisheries Science Centers and Regional Offices provided expertise: Cindy Thomson, Mark Plummer, Jim Waters, Ron Felthoven, Sarah Malloy, Dale Squires, Matthew McPherson, Todd Lee, Terry Hiatt, Jennifer Mondragon, Karen Greene, and Steve Freese. Other colleagues who provided information and expertise included: Gretchen Jennings (Alaska Department of Fish and Game), Mark Fisher and Tom Newton (Texas Department of Parks and Wildlife). Jim Kirkley (Virginia Institute of Marine Science) created the input-ouput model for generating seafood impacts and Sabrina Lovell provided the estimates of recreational impacts and expenditures.

Address all comments and questions to:

Economics and Sociocultural Analysis Division
Office of Science and Technology
NOAA Fisheries (NMFS)
1315 East-West Highway, 12th floor
Silver Spring, MD 20910-3282
Phone: 301-713-2328 / Fax: 301-713-4137

## In Memory of Dr. Jim Kirkley

We would like to dedicate this volume of Fisheries Economics of the U.S. to Dr. Jim Kirkley, PhD, professor of Marine Science at the Virginia Institute of Marine Science, College of William and Mary. Dr. Kirkley provided invaluable expertise in development of the Commercial Seafood Impacts model.

The information obtained from his models have been an integral piece of the report since its inception. Over time, these data have become a fundamental component of the public's understanding of the role of the commercial seafood industry.

Today, this information is included in a wide array of documents including congressional briefing documents and nationally syndicated newspaper articles.

Dr. Kirkley was one of the first economists to develop models to demonstrate the comprehensive effects of fisheries in the national economy. The community of fisheries economists will always be in debt to Dr. Kirkley's contributions.

Dungeness crabs, Moss Landing, California (photo credit: Richael Young)


Management Context

The authority to manage federal fisheries in the United States was granted to the Secretary of Commerce by the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act, also known as the Magnuson-Stevens Act (P.L. 94-265 as amended by P.L. 109-479). NOAA Fisheries or the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) is the federal agency delegated authority from the Secretary of Commerce to oversee fishing activities in federal waters. Federal fisheries are generally defined as fishing activities that are prosecuted between 3 and 200 nautical miles from the coastline. Generally, individual states retain management authority over fishing activities within 3 nautical miles of their coasts.

Nationwide, there are 45 fishery management and ecosystem plans ${ }^{1}$ that provide a framework for managing the harvest of 230 major fish stocks or stock complexes that comprise $90 \%$ of the commercial harvest. These fishery management plans (FMPs) are developed by Regional Fishery Management Councils (FMCs) in each of eight regions nationwide: the North Pacific, Western Pacific, Pacific, New England, Mid-Atlantic, South Atlantic, Gulf of Mexico, and Caribbean Regions. Once an FMP is developed, it must be approved by the Secretary of Commerce in consultation with NOAA Fisheries before it is implemented and enforced.

## Regional Fishery Management Councils

- North Pacific Fishery Management Council
- Western Pacific Fishery Management Council
- Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council
- Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council
- New England Fishery Management Council
- Pacific Fishery Management Council
- South Atlantic Fishery Management Council
- Caribbean Fishery Management Council

Of the 230 major fish stocks and stock complexes currently managed under a FMP, the overfished status of 177 stocks or stock complexes and the overfishing status of 194 stocks or stock complexes is known. Currently, 39 stocks or stock complexes are categorized as overfished and 32 are categorized as subject to overfishing ${ }^{2}$.

Less is known about the 248 minor stocks or stock complexes. The overfished status of 42 of these stocks or stock complexes is known and four of these are currently considered overfished. The overfishing status of 2 of the 248 minor stocks or stock complexes is known and NA of these are currently considered to be subject to overfishing ${ }^{2}$.

## Transboundary and International Fisheries

NOAA Fisheries is also actively involved in negotiating conservation measures and fishery allocations for fisheries conducted in areas where the Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) of the U.S. overlaps with other nations (transboundary areas), and in areas beyond the U.S. EEZ (international waters or the high seas). The Gulf of Alaska and the Gulf of Maine are examples of these transboundary areas. An area in the Bering Sea outside of EEZs of Canada, Japan, and Russia, called the Donut Hole, is an example of international waters. Loss of sea ice will create new transboundary areas and international waters in the Arctic.

## Regional Fishery Management Organizations

- International Convention for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas (Basic Instrument for the International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas - ICCAT),
- Convention for the Conservation of Salmon in the North Atlantic Ocean (Basic Instrument for the North Atlantic Salmon Conservation Organization NASCO),
- Convention on Future Multilateral Cooperation in the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries (Basic Instrument for the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization NAFO),
- Convention for the Establishment of an Inter-American Tropical Tuna Commission (IATTC),
- Convention for the Conservation of Anadromous Stocks in the North Pacific Ocean (Basic Instrument for the North Pacific Anadromous Fish Commission - NPAFC),
- Western and Central Pacific Fisheries Convention (WCPFC),
- Asia-Pacific Fishery Commission (APFIC),
- Fishery Committee for the Eastern Central Atlantic (CECAF)

Regional Fishery Management Organizations (RFMOs) are multinational organizations with interests in transboundary and international fish stocks and associated fishing activities. NOAA Fisheries is party to eight RFMOs globally ${ }^{3}$. The goal of these RFMOs is to adopt measures for the conservation and coordinated management of target species such as bluefin tuna. RFMOs also provide measures for the conservation and scientific assessment of non-target species. Also known as bycatch, non-target species include seabirds, marine mammals, sea turtles, and fish species caught incidentally to target species. The commitment to conserving and protecting all species associated with, or affected by, fishing activities is outlined in the Food and Agricultural Organization's (FAO's) Code of Conduct for Responsible Fisheries established in 1995.

[^0]Another issue of particular concern for NOAA Fisheries is the problem of illegal, unreported, and unregulated (IUU) fishing activities in international waters. The RFMOs report estimates that in 2011, there were 42 vessels flying the national flags of 22 nations participating in IUU fishing activities. ${ }^{1}$ NOAA Fisheries is actively working bilaterally and multilaterally with other nations on the adoption of strategies to reduce the level of IUU fishing around the world.

## Threatened and Engangered Species

NOAA Fisheries is also the lead agency for the conservation and protection of over 87 fish and non-fish species that fall within the purview of the Endangered Species Act (ESA). Status determinations related to the viability and health of these populations have been made. The status of these populations have been determined as 'threatened' or 'endangered', and, in one case, 'recovered'.

Currently, there are 44 marine and anadromous fish species and subspecies ${ }^{2}$ that are protected under the ESA. These species include: Atlantic salmon, coho salmon, green sturgeon, shortnose sturgeon, smalltooth sawfish, steelhead trout, and totoaba. Many of these species are further delineated into distinct population segments or evolutionarily significant units that are based on genetic similarities within geographically- or reproductively-isolated populations.
Endangered and Threatened Species under NMFS Jurisdiction

| Species Group | Number of Species |
| :--- | ---: |
| Marine and Anadromous Fish | 44 |
| Marine Mammals: Whales | 12 |
| Marine Mammals: Dolphins | 2 |
| Marine Mammals: Porpoise | 1 |
| Marine Mammals: Seals | 5 |
| Marine Mammals: Sea Lions | 2 |
| Sea Turtles | 16 |
| Marine Invertebrates | 4 |
| Marine Plants | 1 |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 7}$ |

In addition to threatened and endangered fish species, NOAA Fisheries is also involved in the conservation and protection of ESA-listed non-fish species. Marine mammals such as whales, dolphins, and seals, as well as species of sea turtles, marine invertebrates, and one marine plant are listed. There are currently 102 candidate species for listing (82 are coral species) and 7 species proposed for listing.

In 1970, the Eastern North Pacific gray whale was listed under the ESA, but has since made a comeback and was considered 'recovered' in 1994. The Caribbean monk seal, listed in 1967, was delisted in 2008. This species is considered to be extinct.

In addition to endangered and threatened species under the Endangered Species Act, NOAA Fisheries is also responsible for providing protection for marine mammals under the Marine Mammal Protection Act. Passed in 1972, Congress recognized that protecting populations of marine mammals contributes to the overall health of marine ecosystems.

NOAA Fisheries is responsible for preventing the harrassment, capture, or killing of whales, dolphins, porpoises, seals, and sea lions. ${ }^{3}$ However, exceptions are made for scientific research, unintended interactions with commercial fisheries, subsistence and traditional uses by Alaska natives, and public display at some aquaria.

## Essential Fish Habitats

Sustainable commercial and recreational fisheries depend on healthy habitats. These habitats include rivers, estuaries, and the open ocean where marine and anadromous species feed, grow, and reproduce. Consideration of these habitat areas are part of an ecosystem-based management approach for managing fisheries in a more sustainable and holistic manner. Since 1996, federal fishery management plans are required to identify and describe essential fish habitat (EFH) for all federally-managed species. ${ }^{4}$ Habitat areas that are necessary for a fish species' growth, reproduction, and development are considered EFH. To the extent practicable, NOAA Fisheries and the Councils must minimize adverse effects to EFH caused by fishing activities.

Though not required, habitat areas of particular concern (HAPC) can be identified to help focus EFH conservation efforts. HAPCs are a subset of EFH and are particularly vulnerable or ecologically important. To date, approximately 100 HAPCs have been designated including specific coral, seamount, and spawning areas.

A recent effort undertaken by the NOAA Fisheries Office of Science and Technology was to create a Habitat Assessment Improvement Plan ${ }^{5}$ to advance NOAA Fisheries' ability to identify EFH and HAPCs and to provide information needed to assess impacts to EFH.

## Catch Share Programs

A variety of market-based tools are available to fishery managers. NOAA Fisheries is currently implementing several different types of catch share programs such as limited access privilege programs (LAPPs), which include individual fishing quota programs (IFQs), regional fishery associations, and fishing community

[^1]Existing Catch Shares Programs

| Region | Program | First Year | Value 2010 \$ million | Pre-catch share price | Post-catch share price | Unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New England | Northeast Scallop IFQ | 2010 | 20 | 6.69 | 8.78 | per Ib |
| New England | Northeast <br> Multispecies Sectors | 2010 | 87.1 | 1.31 | 1.43 | per lb |
| Mid-Atlantic | Atlantic Surf Clam ITQ | 1990 | 26 | 13.32 | 11.72 | per bushel |
| Mid-Atlantic | Atlantic Ocean Quahog ITQ | 1990 | 20.8 | 6.1 | 6.84 | per bushel |
| Mid-Atlantic | Golden <br> Tilefish IFQ | 2010 | 5.3 | 2.57 | 2.82 | per lb |
| South Atlantic | Wreckfish IFQ | 1992 | ND | ND | ND |  |
| Gulf of Mexico | Red Snapper IFQ | 2007 | 10.3 | 3.32 | 3.36 | per Ib |
| Gulf of Mexico | Grouper-Tilefish IFQ | 2010 | 14.3 | 3.16 | 3.23 | per lb |
| Pacific | Pacific Coast <br> Sablefish <br> Permit <br> Stacking | 2002 | 14.9 | 1.84 | 4.83 | per Ib |
| Pacific | Pacific Groundfish <br> Trawl Rationalization | 2011 | 52 | 0.22 | 0.23 | per lb |
| North Pacific | Western <br> Alaska CDQ | 1992 | NA | NA | NA |  |
| North Pacific | Alaska <br> Halibut IFQ | 1995 | 199 | 1.94 | 4.58 | per Ib |
| North Pacific | Alaska Sablefish IFQ | 1995 | 99.8 | 2.8 | 4.38 | per Ib |
| North Pacific | AFA Pollock Co-ops | 1998 | 226.2 | 314 | 321 | per mt |
| North Pacific | Alaska <br> Weatervane <br> Scallop <br> Co-ops | 2001 | ND | ND | ND |  |
| North Pacific | BSAI Crab <br> Rationalization | 2005 | 130.5 | 1.9 | 2.04 | per lb |
| North Pacific | Non-Pollock <br> Trawl Catcher/Proces Co-ops | $2008$ | 245.3 | 1082 | 939 | per mt |

quotas ${ }^{1}$; community development quota programs (CDQs); fishing cooperatives; and sector allocation programs ${ }^{2}$. Catch share programs are a fishery management tool that dedicates a secure share of quota that entitles individual fishermen, fishing cooperatives, fishing communities, or other entities to harvest a fixed amount of fish.

With clearly defined fishing privileges, fishermen no longer need to "race to fish", but instead can make harvest decisions based upon market conditions, improving economic performance, and weather conditions, which improves crew safety. These incentives can reduce the cost of taking conservation actions and can encourage individual fishing choices that are more consistent with sustainable fishing practices such as reducing bycatch of species not being targeted for harvest and reducing the wasteful practice of "discarding'", i.e., throwing back low-value or undersized catch, which is often associated with high mortality rates. The ability to align fishermen's economic incentives with the long-term biological health of the fishery singularly distinguishes catch share programs from traditional fishery management strategies (i.e., trip limits, gear restrictions, etc.).

The NOAA catch shares policy ${ }^{3}$, released in 2010, encourages well-designed catch share programs to help maintain or rebuild fisheries, and sustain fishermen, communities and vibrant working waterfronts, including the cultural and resource access traditions that have been part of this country since its founding. Nationwide, there are 15 catch share programs currently in operation; some programs have been in operation for more than 20 years and others have been implemented more recently.

Recently, there has been an effort to characterize the federal catch share programs and develop standard performance indicators that measure the economic performance of catch share programs, regardless of their design. The standard performance measures include metrics for catch and landings, effort, revenue, accumulation limits and cost recovery. These indicators over the duration of the catch share program and compared to the Baseline Period, which is defined as the average of the three years prior to the Program's implementation. One of the indicators measuring economic efficiency, average price of catch share species reveals that with the exception of two catch share programs (Atlantic Surf Clam Individual Transferable Quota and Non-Pollock Trawl Catcher/Processor Groundfish Cooperatives Amendment 80), the average price for the most recent year is greater than average prices during the baseline period. Nationwide, there are 15 catch share programs currently in operation in six different regions. The total landings revenue of the fisheries for which information was available was about $\$ 1.2$ billion in 2010.

Other Market-based Management Tools

Vessel or permit buyback programs are another market-based tool used by fishery managers. Under these programs, fishing vessels or permits are purchased by the government to permanently decrease the number of participants in the fishery to ease fishing-related pressure on marine resources. To date, there have been ten buyback programs instituted nationwide. The cost of seven ${ }^{4}$ of these buyback programs totaled of $\$ 397$ million. Eighty-five percent of this total cost was funded by loans from the federal government that will be repaid by the commercial fishing industry.

License limitation programs, also known as limited entry programs, are another management tool available to fishery managers. In these programs, the number of fishing vessels allowed to harvest a specific fish stock or stock complex is limited to a fishermen or vessels with permission to fish. Unlike catch share programs, license limitation programs have been implemented for almost all federally-managed commercial fisheries and have been implemented in every region except the Caribbean.

Ecolabels are a market-based tool available to improve fisheries sustainability. An ecolabeling program entitles a fishery product to bear a distinctive logo or statement that certifies the fishery resource was harvested in compliance with specified conservation and sustainability standards. This ecolabel is intended to inform the consumer or purchaser of the fishery product of this compliance. It allows the buyer to potentially influence the sustainable harvest of fishery resources through the purchase of such ecolabeled seafood products at a price premium.

One example of an ecolabeling program is run by the Marine Stewardship Council (MSC), one of the largest and most recognizable ecolabeling programs in the world. Under this program, MSC sets standards for sustainable fishing practices and seafood traceability. Capture fisheries can voluntarily seek certification that it meets these standards from an accredited third-party certifier. If a fishery meets a set of performance standards then its products can bear the MSC logo and have access to wholesalers and retailers that have been approved through the MSCs chain-of-custody certification. There are currently 184 fisheries worldwide that meet MSC sustainability standards, ${ }^{5} 19$ of which are U.S. fisheries.

[^2]U.S. Fisheries with MSC Certification

| Region | Fishery | Certified |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North Pacific | Alaskan salmon | Sep 2000; <br> Nov 2007 |
| North Pacific | Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands (BSAI) pollock | Feb 2005; Dec 2010 |
| North Pacific | Gulf of Alaska (GOA) pollock | Apr 2005; Sep 2010 |
| North Pacific | US North Pacific halibut | Apr 2006 |
| North Pacific | US North Pacific sablefish | May 2006 |
| Pacific | Pacific albacore tuna - <br> (American <br> Albacore <br> Fishing <br> Association) | Aug 2007 |
| Pacific | Oregon pink shrimp | Dec 2007 |
| Mid-Atlantic | Atlantic deep sea red crab | Sep 2009 |
| Pacific | Pacific hake mid-water trawl | Oct 2009 |
| North Pacific | BSAI Pacific cod | Jan 2010 |
| North Pacific | GOA Pacific cod | Jan 2010 |
| North Pacific | North Pacific albacore tuna (American <br> Western Fish Boat Owners Association) | Mar 2010 |
| North Pacific | Bering Sea and Aleutian <br> Islands flatfish | Jun 2010 |
| North Pacific | Gulf of Alaska flatfish | Jun 2010 |
| Pacific | Oregon dungeness crab | Dec 2010 |
| Southeast | Southeast Atlantic swordfish | Dec 2011 |
| Southeast | Lousiana blue crab | Mar 2012 |
| Northeast \& Mid-Atlantic | US Atlantic spiny dogfish | Aug 2012 |

## Commercial Fisheries

Commercial fishermen in the U.S. harvested 9.9 billion pounds of finfish and shellfish in 2011, earning $\$ 5.3$ billion for their catch. Pacific salmon ( $\$ 618$ million) followed by sea scallop ( $\$ 585$ million), shrimp ( $\$ 536$ million), and American lobster (\$423 million) contributed most to total revenue in the U.S. In terms of pounds landed, walleye pollock ( 2.8 billion pounds), menhaden (1.9 billion), and Pacific salmon ( 780 million) comprised over half of total pounds landed in 2011.

## Key U.S. Commercial Species

| - American lobster | - Sablefish |
| :--- | :--- |
| - Blue crab | - Sea scallop |
| - Menhaden | - Shrimp |
| - Pacific halibut | - Tunas |
| - Pacific salmon | - Walleye pollock |

When looking at key species or species groups, commercial fishermen in Alaska caught the most salmon ( 738 million pounds) and earned $\$ 565$ million for their catch in 2011. Tuna was caught in large numbers in Hawai'i (19 million pounds) and generated $\$ 67$ million in landings revenue.

On the East Coast, Maine fishermen contributed most to the total landings of American lobster ( 105 million pounds) and earned $\$ 334$ million for their catch in 2011. In Massachusetts, sea scallop was a major contributor to total revenue, earning $\$ 331$ million for 33 million pounds landed. More blue crab was caught in Maryland ( 50 million pounds) than any other state, earning fishermen in this state over $\$ 59$ million. Louisiana landed over half of the menhaden in 2011 with fisherman landing 1.1 billion pounds and generating $\$ 94$ million in landings revenue.

In the Gulf of Mexico, shrimp is a highly valued species. Fishermen in Texas earned $\$ 215$ million for their catch ( 87 million pounds). Although, more shrimp was landed in Louisiana ( 92 million pounds) the total landings revenue was less ( $\$ 133$ million). The ex-vessel price in Texas (\$2.47) was greater than that in Louisiana (\$1.44).

[^3]
## Economic Impacts ${ }^{1}$

In this report, the U.S. seafood industry includes the commercial harvest sector, seafood processors and dealers, seafood wholesalers and distributors, importers, and seafood retailers. In 2011, this industry supported approximately 1.2 million full- and part-time jobs and generated $\$ 129$ billion in sales impacts, $\$ 37$ billion in income impacts, and $\$ 55$ billion in value added impacts.

## Commercial Economic Impacts Trends for the United States

(thousands of dollars)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Jobs | $1,144,353$ | $1,029,542$ | $1,196,683$ | $1,233,204$ |
| Income | $34,544,909$ | $31,556,643$ | $36,269,724$ | $36,568,695$ |
| Sales | $126,175,684$ | $116,224,548$ | $133,135,986$ | $129,386,335$ |
| Value Added | $52,726,594$ | $48,282,319$ | $55,434,189$ | $55,321,482$ |
| Total Revenue | $4,399,402$ | $3,894,864$ | $4,511,171$ | $5,338,063$ |

Seafood retailers, which generated the largest job and value added impacts, contributed 618,000 jobs, $\$ 32$ billion in sales impacts, and $\$ 17.7$ billion in value added impacts to the national economy in 2011. Imports, which generated the largest sales impacts, contributed 176,000 jobs, $\$ 48.4$ billion in sales impacts, and $\$ 14.8$ billion in value added impacts. The wholesalers and distributors sector was the smallest of the seafood industry sectors and contributed 54,000 jobs, $\$ 7.5$ billion in sales impacts, and $\$ 3.5$ billion in value added impacts to the national economy.

Employment impacts from the U.S. seafood industry were higher in 2011 than in 2010. Overall, employment impacts increased by $3.1 \%$, with the gains concentrated in the commercial harvesting (up $17 \%$ ) and retail (up $8.8 \%$ ) sectors. Income impacts were $0.82 \%$ higher in 2011. Sales (down $-2.8 \%$ ) and value added (down $-0.2 \%$ ) impacts were somewhat smaller than the previous year. For all four types of impacts, the impacts increased substantially in the commercial harvesting and retail sectors.

The greatest employment impacts generated by the seafood industry were generated in California with 122,000 jobs, followed by Massachusetts ( 98,000 jobs), Florida (72,000 jobs), and Washington ( 67,000 jobs). The lowest number of jobs were supported in Delaware (339 jobs).

Jobs supported by the U.S. Seafood Industry (2011)

| State | Jobs | State | Jobs |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| United States | $1,233,204$ | Oregon | 18,562 |
| California | 122,074 | Maryland | 15,274 |
| Massachusetts | 98,358 | Georgia | 11,137 |
| Florida | 72,341 | Alabama | 11,011 |
| Washington | 67,007 | Rhode Island | 9,157 |
| Alaska | 63,295 | North Carolina | 8,850 |
| New Jersey | 43,638 | Hawai'i | 8,627 |
| New York | 41,847 | New Hampshire | 5,968 |
| Louisiana | 32,818 | Mississippi | 5,550 |
| Maine | 31,127 | Connecticut | 4,514 |
| Texas | 27,717 | South Carolina | 1,547 |
| Virginia | 22,082 | Delaware | 339 |

The highest sales impacts were generated by the seafood industry in California with $\$ 20$ billion in sales, followed by Florida (\$14 billion), Washington (\$8 billion), and Massachusetts (\$7.8 billion). The importers sector generated the highest level of sales impacts in all four states. The lowest sales were generated in Delaware (\$44 million).

Total sales generated by the U.S. Seafood Industry (2011) (thousands of dollars)

| State | In-State <br> Sales | State | In-State <br> Sales |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| United States | $129,386,335$ | Maine | $1,734,058$ |
| California | $20,053,619$ | Georgia | $1,489,958$ |
| Florida | $14,250,006$ | Oregon | $1,351,116$ |
| Washington | $8,026,068$ | Rhode Island | $1,024,748$ |
| Massachusetts | $7,754,140$ | North Carolina | 795,541 |
| New Jersey | $6,563,733$ | New Hampshire | 766,257 |
| New York | $5,102,910$ | Connecticut | 740,263 |
| Alaska | $4,684,638$ | Hawai'i | 694,228 |
| Texas | $2,277,959$ | Alabama | 499,805 |
| Virginia | $1,866,659$ | Mississippi | 247,106 |
| Louisiana | $1,801,568$ | South Carolina | 88,131 |
| Maryland | $1,743,095$ | Delaware | 43,746 |

The greatest value added impacts were generated by the seafood industry in California with $\$ 7.2$ billion in sales, followed by Florida ( $\$ 4.8$ billion), Washington ( $\$ 3.3$ billion), and Massachusetts (\$3.1 billion). The smallest value added impacts were generated in Delaware ( $\$ 15$ million).

Total value added impacts generated by the U.S. Seafood Industry (2011)

| State | Value <br> Added | State | Value <br> Added |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| United States | $55,321,482$ | Maryland | 665,883 |
| California | $7,168,389$ | Oregon | 633,483 |
| Florida | $4,778,502$ | Georgia | 548,826 |
| Washington | $3,297,368$ | Rhode Island | 397,018 |
| Massachusetts | $3,090,449$ | North Carolina | 329,451 |
| Alaska | $2,493,124$ | Hawai'i | 311,097 |
| New Jersey | $2,407,754$ | New Hampshire | 287,785 |
| New York | $1,801,303$ | Connecticut | 257,905 |
| Texas | $1,002,928$ | Alabama | 250,171 |
| Louisiana | 877,911 | Mississippi | 125,430 |
| Maine | 829,833 | South Carolina | 46,495 |
| Virginia | 800,243 | Delaware | 14,661 |

Landings revenue in the U.S. totaled $\$ 5.3$ billion in 2011. This was a $69 \%$ increase ( $17 \%$ increase in real terms) from 2002 levels ( $\$ 3.2$ billion) and a $18 \%$ increase ( $9.1 \%$ increase in real terms) relative to 2010 ( $\$ 4.5$ billion). Both the finfish and shellfish components contributed to the increasing landing revenues trend. Totaling $\$ 2.6$ billion in 2011, finfish revenue experienced a $88 \%$ increase ( $31 \%$ increase in real terms) from 2002 to 2011 and increased $19 \%$ ( $9.4 \%$ increase in real terms) from 2010 to 2011.
U.S. shellfish revenue totaled $\$ 2.7$ billion in 2011, increasing $53.5 \%$ ( $6.6 \%$ increase in real terms) from 2002 to 2011 and increased 18\% (a $8.9 \%$ increase in real terms) from 2010 to 2011.

| Total Landings Revenue by Region (2011) |
| :--- |
| (thousands of dollars) |


| Region | Total <br> Revenue | Region | Total <br> Revenue |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| United States | $5,338,063$ | Pacific | 710,495 |
| North Pacific | $1,911,540$ | Mid-Atlantic | 527,493 |
| New England | $1,109,057$ | South Atlantic | 171,302 |
| Gulf of Mexico | 818,017 | Western Pacific | 91,513 |

The ten U.S. key species and species groups comprised $63 \%$ of total revenue in 2011. Of these, Pacific salmon, sea scallop, shrimp, American lobster, and walleye pollock contributed most to total revenue in the U.S. in 2011. These species or groups totaled approximately $\$ 2.5$ billion in 2011 or $47 \%$ of total revenue. The largest increases in total revenue among the national key species or species groups from 2002 to 2011 were experienced by: Pacific salmon ( $296 \%, 175 \%$ in real terms), sea scallop ( $190 \%$, $101 \%$ in real terms), and sablefish ( $142 \%, 68 \%$ in real terms).

All key species or species groups showed increases in nominal revenue from 2002 to 2011, though three species groups decreased in real revenue over that time period: blue crab (down less than $1 \%$ ), menhaden (down 14\%), and shrimp (down 29\%). Relative to 2010 totals, key species or species groups with the largest increases in total revenue in 2011 were: sablefish $(37 \%, 26 \%$ in real terms), menhaden ( $34 \%, 24 \%$ in real terms), and sea scallop ( $28 \%, 18 \%$ in real terms).

Total Landings Revenue by State (2011)
(thousands of dollars)

| State | Total <br> Revenue | State | Total <br> Revenue |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Alaska | $1,911,540$ | Maryland | 76,722 |
| Massachusetts | 565,238 | Rhode Island | 75,956 |
| Maine | 424,712 | North Carolina | 71,177 |
| Louisiana | 333,619 | East Florida | 60,570 |
| Washington | 331,404 | Alabama | 50,941 |
| Texas | 239,082 | New York | 37,625 |
| New Jersey | 214,191 | Mississippi | 30,300 |
| California | 201,269 | New Hampshire | 23,483 |
| Virginia | 191,665 | South Carolina | 23,268 |
| West Florida | 164,076 | Connecticut | 19,668 |
| Oregon | 148,337 | Georgia | 16,295 |
| Hawai'i | 91,513 | Delaware | 7,091 |

Overall, the greatest portion of the nation's landings revenue was generated in Alaska ( $\$ 1.9$ billion), which contributed $36 \%$ to the U.S. total. Alaska also contributed more than any other state to total U.S. finfish revenue ( $\$ 2.6$ billion), accounting for $64 \%$ of total finfish revenue. More than half of Alaska's finfish landings revenue came from walleye pollock and salmon. Massachusetts ( $\$ 433$ million) and Maine ( $\$ 381$ million) contributed most to total U.S. shellfish revenue, contributing $15.8 \%$ and $13.9 \%$, respectively. Sea scallop accounted for most of the revenue generated in Massachusetts and American lobster contributed the most to revenue in Maine.

## Commercial Fisheries Facts

## Landings revenue

- The ten key U.S. key species or species groups accounted for $63 \%$ of total landings revenue in 2011.
- Finfish and other fishery products ( $\$ 2.6$ billion) contributed slightly less than shellfish (\$2.7 billion) to total landings revenue in the U.S. in 2011.
- Together, Pacific salmon and walleye pollock accounted for $38 \%$ of total finfish revenue.
- Sea scallop, shrimp, and American lobster earned the most in shellfish revenue in 2011, contributing $21.3 \%$ $19.5 \%$, and $15.4 \%$, respectively.
- Pacific salmon had the largest one-year increase in landings revenue over the 10 year time period, increasing $52 \%$ from $\$ 199$ million in 2003 to $\$ 303$ million in 2004.
- Pacific halibut had the largest decrease in landings revenue over the 10 year time period, decreasing $35 \%$ from $\$ 218$ million in 2008 to $\$ 141$ million in 2009.


## Landings

- The U.S. key species and species groups accounted for 64\% of total landings in 2011.
- Finfish and other fishery products accounted for $86 \%$ of total U.S. landings in 2011 or 8.5 billion pounds.
- Walleye pollock and menhaden contributed $33 \%$ and $22 \%$, respectively, to U.S. finfish landings.
- Shrimp and blue crab contributed $23 \%$ and $15 \%$, respectively, to shellfish landings.
- Walleye pollock had the largest one-year increase in landings over the 10 year time period, increasing 44\% from 1.9 billion pounds in 2010 to 2.8 billion pounds in 2011.
- Pacific salmon had the largest one-year decrease in landings over the 10 year time period, decreasing $26 \%$ from 900 million pounds in 2005 to 664 million pounds in 2006.


## Prices

- Of the top ten key species or species groups, sea scallop (\$9.9), Pacific halibut (\$4.98), and sablefish (\$4.56) had the highest ex-vessel price per pound in 2011.
- Walleye pollock ( $\$ 0.13$ ) and menhaden ( $\$ 0.08$ ) had the lowest ex-vessel price per pound in 2011.
- Pacific halibut had the largest one-year increase in ex-vessel price over the 10 year time period, increasing $56 \%$ from $\$ 2.35$ per pound in 2009 to $\$ 3.67$ in 2010.
- Shrimp had the largest decrease in ex-vessel price over the 10 year time period, decreasing $31 \%$ from $\$ 1.79$ per pound in 2008 to $\$ 1.24$ in 2009.


## Landings

In 2011, U.S. commercial fishermen landed 9.9 billion pounds of finfish and shellfish. Relative to 2002 levels, this was an $4.6 \%$ increase and a $20 \%$ increase relative to 2010 ( 8.2 billion pounds). Finfish landings totaled 8.5 billion pounds in 2011, a $3.2 \%$ increase from 8.2 billion pounds in 2002 and a $22 \%$ increase from 2010 ( 6.9 billion pounds).

Total Landings by Region (2011)
(thousands of pounds)

| Region | Total <br> Landings | Region | Total <br> Landings |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| United States | $9,867,148$ | Mid-Atlantic | 779,829 |
| North Pacific | $5,272,554$ | New England | 622,355 |
| Gulf of Mexico | $1,765,899$ | South Atlantic | 123,460 |
| Pacific | $1,175,506$ | Western Pacific | 29,289 |

Almost $60 \%$ of total catch in 2011 was made up of the ten U.S. key species and species groups. Walleye pollock and menhaden had the highest landings totals in 2011 with 2.8 billion pounds and 1.9 billion pounds landed, respectively. These two species accounted for $47 \%$ of total U.S. landings in 2011.

Total Landings by State (2011)
(thousands of pounds)

| State | Total <br> Landings | State | Total <br> Landings |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Alaska | $5,272,554$ | West Florida | 77,687 |
| Louisiana | $1,285,875$ | Rhode Island | 77,236 |
| Virginia | 494,028 | North Carolina | 67,483 |
| California | 408,181 | East Florida | 31,215 |
| Mississippi | 278,080 | Hawai'i | 29,289 |
| Oregon | 274,525 | New York | 27,104 |
| Maine | 269,923 | Alabama | 26,145 |
| Massachusetts | 255,798 | Georgia | 12,646 |
| Washington | 210,672 | New Hampshire | 12,321 |
| New Jersey | 175,516 | South Carolina | 12,116 |
| Texas | 98,111 | Connecticut | 7,078 |
| Maryland | 78,197 | Delaware | 4,921 |

The greatest increases in landings between 2002 and 2011 occurred in American lobster (52\%), Pacific salmon (39\%), and blue crab (13\%). During the same time period, decreases were seen in Pacific halibut (47\%), walleye pollock (16\%), and shrimp ( $10 \%$ ). The largest increase in landings of key species or groups between 2010 and 2011 was experienced by walleye pollock (44\%) and the largest decrease was experienced by Pacific halibut (24\%).

Alaskan fishermen harvested the majority of the nation's total landings. Alaska contributed $56 \%$ to the U.S. total in 2011, landing 5.3 billion pounds of finfish and shellfish. Alaska also contributed most to the U.S. finfish total, landing 5.2 billion pounds or $61 \%$ of the U.S. finfish total. Walleye pollock comprised much of landings in Alaska (53\%). More shellfish was landed in California ( 300 million pounds) and Louisiana ( 157 million pounds) than any other single state. The landings in these two states comprised $33 \%$ of all shellfish landed in the United States in 2011.

## Prices

Of the ten U.S. key species and species groups, sea scallop, Pacific halibut, and sablefish received the highest ex-vessel prices in 2011 at $\$ 9.9$ per pound, $\$ 4.98$ per pound, and $\$ 4.56$ per pound respectively.

Significant increases in price were observed for Pacific halibut, which increased 195\% (105\% in real terms) from 2002 to 2011, and experienced an increase of $35.7 \%$ ( $25.2 \%$ in real terms) from 2010 to 2011. Pacific salmon ex-vessel price experienced the next largest change between 2002 and 2011, with an increase of $182 \%$ ( $96 \%$ in real terms). The greatest change in price between 2010 and 2011 was experienced by Pacific halibut ( $35.7 \%$ increase a $25.2 \%$ increase in real terms), followed by sablefish with a $33.7 \%$ increase (a $23.2 \%$ increase in real terms).

Menhaden and walleye pollock had the lowest ex-vessel prices in 2011 at $\$ 0.08$ and $\$ 0.13$ per pound, respectively. However, landings of menhaden and walleye pollock were the largest among the U.S. key species and groups: 1.87 billion pounds of menhaden and 2.81 billion pounds of walleye pollock.

## Recreational Fisheries

In 2011, there were approximately 11 million recreational saltwater anglers across the U.S. who took 70 million saltwater fishing trips around the country. These anglers spent $\$ 4.5$ billion on fishing trips and $\$ 22$ billion on durable fishing-related equipment. These expenditures contributed $\$ 70$ billion in sales impacts to the U.S. economy, generated $\$ 32$ billion in value added impacts, and supported over 455,000 job impacts. Of the U.S. key recreational species or species groups, seatrout ( 51 million fish), and Atlantic croaker and spot ( 31 million fish) were the most often caught by recreational saltwater anglers in 2011.

## Key United States Recreational Species

- Atlantic croaker and spot
- Seatrout
- Little tunny and Atlantic bonito
- Pacific halibut
- Sharks
- Striped bass
- Summer flounder
- Large Atlantic
tuna


## Expenditures and Economic Impacts

Economic impacts from recreational fishing activities (impacts from fishing trips and durable equipment combined) supported over 455,000 full- and part-time jobs across the U.S. in 2011. Sales impacts from recreational angling trips and durable expenditures totaled $\$ 70$ billion and value added impacts totaled $\$ 32$ billion. Durable equipment impacts contributed most to these totals, accounting for $81 \%$ of employment impacts, $85 \%$ of total sales impacts, and $83 \%$ of value added impacts. Of the three fishing trip modes, private boat-based fishing trips contributed most to the number of jobs supported by recreational angling with $7.4 \%$ of employment impacts. For-hire sales (\$2.5 billion) and value added impacts (\$1.4 billion) were approximately half the magnitude of impacts generated by either private boat ( $\$ 4.6$ billion, $\$ 2.3$ billion) or shore-based trips ( $\$ 3.5$ billion, $\$ 1.8$ billion).

Recreational Economic Impacts Trends for the United States
(thousands of dollars and trips)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Jobs | 384,707 | 327,124 | 326,188 | 454,542 |
| Income | NA | $14,574,464$ | $14,570,210$ | $20,518,517$ |
| Sales | $58,877,647$ | $49,811,961$ | $49,832,341$ | $70,315,216$ |
| Value Added | $27,350,783$ | $23,196,423$ | $23,170,932$ | $32,471,761$ |
| Total Trips ${ }^{1}$ | 85,548 | 74,559 | 72,464 | 70,194 |

U.S. anglers spent a total of $\$ 4.5$ billion on expenditures related for fishing trips in 2011. Of this total, expenditures for private boat-based fishing trips contributed the most ( $\$ 2$ billion), followed by shore-based fishing trips ( $\$ 1.5$ billion), and for-hire-based fishing trips (\$1 billion). Expenditures on fishing-related equipment totaled over $\$ 22$ billion in 2011. Anglers spent more on boat expenses (\$11 billion) than any other durable good. Other major expenditures include vehicle expenses (\$4.1 billion), fishing tackle ( $\$ 3.8$ billion) and second home expenses ( $\$ 2.1$ billion).

Jobs supported by the U.S. Recreational Fishing Industry (2011)

| State | Jobs | State | Jobs |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| West Florida | 47,047 | Washington | 4,939 |
| East Florida | 28,701 | South Carolina | 3,254 |
| Louisiana | 17,764 | Oregon | 3,147 |
| North Carolina | 17,737 | New York | 2,972 |
| Texas | 15,150 | Hawai'i | 2,948 |
| New Jersey | 9,965 | Georgia | 2,880 |
| Alabama | 8,177 | Rhode Island | 1,273 |
| California | 7,703 | Mississippi | 1,181 |
| Virginia | 7,237 | Connecticut | 909 |
| Alaska | 6,291 | Maine | 843 |
| Maryland | 5,745 | Delaware | 795 |
| Massachusetts | 5,322 | New Hampshire | 376 |

The greatest employment impacts from expenditures on recreational angling were generated in East Florida with 29,000 jobs, followed by Louisiana(18,000 jobs), North Carolina(18,000 jobs), and Texas(15,000 jobs). The lowest number of jobs were supported in New Hampshire ( 376 jobs). The highest sales impacts from expenditures on recreational angling were also generated in East Florida with $\$ 3.3$ billion in sales, followed by Louisiana( $\$ 2$ billion), Texas(\$1.9 billion, and New Jersey (\$1.9 billion). The lowest sales were generated in 41 million ( $\$ 41$ million).

Total Sales generated by the U.S. Recreational Fishing Industry (2011)
(thousands of dollars)

| State | Sales | State | Sales |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| West Florida | $4,881,831$ | Washington | 514,088 |
| East Florida | $3,255,774$ | Oregon | 370,032 |
| North Carolina | $1,961,144$ | New York | 369,382 |
| Texas | $1,853,361$ | Georgia | 348,742 |
| New Jersey | $1,697,115$ | Hawai'i | 309,923 |
| Louisiana | $1,602,913$ | South Carolina | 282,049 |
| California | $1,031,068$ | Rhode Island | 157,111 |
| Virginia | 833,508 | Mississippi | 145,769 |
| Alabama | 797,280 | Connecticut | 128,921 |
| Maryland | 783,833 | Delaware | 120,877 |
| Massachusetts | 726,164 | Maine | 77,071 |
| Alaska | 557,958 | New Hampshire | 41,005 |

## Participation ${ }^{2}$

Nationwide, there were 11 million recreational saltwater anglers who fished in their home states in 2011. Approximately 9.2 million of these anglers were residents of a U.S. coastal county and 1.4 million anglers were residents of a non-coastal county. Between 2002 and 2011, the total number of U.S. anglers fishing in their home states increased $6.3 \%$. However, the number of anglers decreased $4.1 \%$ between 2010 and 2011. The number of coastal county anglers increased $6.7 \%$ from 2002 to 2011 and decreased $4 \%$ from 2010 to 2011. The number of non-coastal county anglers increased $4.1 \%$ between 2002 and 2011 and from 2010 to 2011, there was a $4.9 \%$ decrease.

## Fishing Trips ${ }^{3}$

The total number of fishing trips taken in the U.S. decreased $2.7 \%$ from 2002 to 2011. Relative to 2010, total fishing trips taken in the U.S. decreased $3 \%$ with largest increase occurring in the for-hire mode (22\%)

## Harvest and Release

Among the ten key U.S. recreational species or species groups, seatrout, Atlantic croaker and spot, summer flounder, and striped bass were the most commonly caught by anglers in 2011. These species or groups were caught in large numbers relative to the other key species or groups: seatrout ( 51 million fish), Atlantic croaker and spot ( 31 million fish), summer flounder ( 22 million fish), and striped bass ( 8.4 million fish). Anglers fishing in the Mid-Atlantic and New England caught most of the Atlantic croaker, summer flounder, and striped bass in 2011, while most seatrout were caught in the Gulf of Mexico and the South Atlantic.

[^4]
## Recreational Fishing Facts <br> \section*{Participation}

- An average of 12 million anglers fished in United States annually from 2002 to 2011.
- In 2011, coastal county residents made up $87 \%$ of total anglers. These anglers averaged $87 \%$ of total anglers annually over the 10 year time period.
- The largest annual increase in the number of coastal anglers during the 10 year time period was between 2002 and 2003, increasing $21 \%$, from 8.6 million anglers to 10 million anglers. The largest one-year decrease during the same period for coastal anglers occurred between 2007 and 2008, decreasing $13 \%$, from 12 million anglers to 11 million anglers.
Fishing trips
- In the United States, an average of 80 million fishing trips were taken annually from 2002 to 2011.
- Private or rental boat and shore-based fishing trips accounted for 35 million and 32 million fishing trips, respectively in 2011. Together, these made up 95.5\% of the fishing trips taken in that year.
- The largest increase in number of total trips taken annually over the 10 year time period occurred between 2002 and 2003, increasing $17 \%$, from 72 million trips to 84 million trips.
- The largest one-year decrease in total trips taken during this period in total trips taken occurred between 2008 and 2009, decreasing $13 \%$, from 86 million trips to 75 million trips.
Harvest and release
- Seatrout was the most commonly caught key species or species group, averaging 45 million fish caught over the 10 year time period. Of these, $\underline{61 \%}$ were released rather than harvested.
- Of the eight commonly caught key species or species groups, six were released more often than harvested over this time period. The species or species group that was most commonly released was sharks ( $96 \%$ released).
- Large Atlantic tuna ( $88 \%$ harvested), followed by Pacific halibut ( $57 \%$ harvested), and Atlantic croaker and spot ( $50 \%$ harvested) were key species or groups that experienced the greatest proportion of harvests rather than releases.

In the North Pacific Region, salmon (Chinook, chum, coho, pink, and sockeye) and Pacific halibut were the most commonly caught species or group in 2011 with 963,000 fish and 705,000 fish caught, respectively. Bigeye and mackerel (662,000 fish) comprised $44 \%$ of fish caught by anglers in the Western Pacific in 2011.

Recreational catch of striped bass experienced a $47 \%$ decrease between 2002 and 2011, the largest change during this 10 year time period. There were 2.7 million sharks caught in 2011. Other key species or groups with large changes in recreational catch include: seatrout ( $40 \%$ increase), summer flounder ( $29 \%$ increase), little tunny and Atlantic bonito ( $27 \%$ decrease), and Pacific halibut ( $21 \%$ increase).

From 2010 to 2011, decreases occurred in the recreational catch of sharks, summer flounder, and large Atlantic tuna. Of these, the largest decreases occurred in sharks (36\%), large Atlantic tuna ( $24 \%$ ), and summer flounder ( $9 \%$ ). The largest increase observed for this time period was for seatrout, which experienced a $25 \%$ increase.

## Marine Economy ${ }^{1}$

In 2010, there were 7.4 billion establishments in the U.S, including marine and non-marine related establishments. These establishments employed almost 112 million full- and part-time employees and had a total annual payroll of $\$ 4.9$ trillion. From 2002 to 2010, the number of establishments increased $2.7 \%$, employee numbers decreased $0.38 \%$, and total annual payroll increased $25 \%$ (a $5.7 \%$ decrease in real terms) nationwide. More modest changes were seen from 2009 to 2010: 0.5\% decrease, $2.2 \%$ decrease, and $1.8 \%$ increase (a $2.3 \%$ decrease in real terms), respectively.

The nation's gross domestic product was $\$ 14.4$ trillion in 2010, a $36 \%$ increase (a $2.6 \%$ increase in real terms) relative to 2002 levels (\$11 trillion) and a $4.2 \%$ increase (a $0 \%$ increase in real terms) relative to 2009 levels ( $\$ 13.8$ trillion). Employee compensation in 2002 was $\$ 6.1$ trillion, a $30 \%$ increase (a $1.9 \%$ decrease in real terms).

For this report, the marine economy, a subset of the national economy, is comprised of two industry sectors: 1) seafood sales and processing (employer establishments and nonemployer firms) and 2) transport, support, and marine operations (employer establishments). These sectors are comprised of several different marine-related industries. The following sections discuss the contribution of these industries to the national marine economy in terms of the number of establishments or firms, employees, and total annual payroll or receipts.

## Seafood Sales and Processing

In 2010, there were 1,617 nonemployer firms engaged in seafood product preparation and packaging, a $79 \%$ increase from 2002 levels. Annual receipts increased $88 \%$ ( $42 \%$ increase in real terms) from $\$ 56$ million (2002) to $\$ 105$ million (2010). More of these firms were located in Florida (202 firms) than any other state.

In contrast to nonemployer firms, the number of employer establishments in seafood product and packaging decreased $15 \%$ from 754 in 2002 to 638 in 2010. These firms employed approximately 32,000 full- and part-time employees in 2010 and had a total annual payroll of $\$ 1.1$ billion. Relative to 2002 levels, this was an $18 \%$ decrease in workers but a $2.2 \%$ increase (a $23 \%$ decrease in real terms) in annual payroll. More of these establishments were located in Alaska (119 establishiments) and Washington (93 establishments) than any other states.

There were over 2,000 employer establishments involved in seafood wholesale activities in 2010. Most of these establishments

[^5]were in California (314 firms), New York (263 firms), and Florida (229 firms) Establishments in the seafood wholesaling sector employed 19,386 workers and had an annual payroll of \$799 million. From 2002 to 2010, the number of establishments in the seafood wholesale sector decreased $24 \%$, the number of employees decreased $27 \%$, and the annual payroll decreased $11 \%$ (a $33 \%$ decrease in real terms).

Nonemployer firms and employer establishments engaged in seafood retail activities saw varying trends from 2002 to 2010. There was a $14 \%$ increase in firms (2,513 in 2010) and a $11 \%$ decrease in establishments (1,982 in 2010). Annual receipts for nonemployer firms totaled $\$ 200$ million in 2010, a $0.1 \%$ decrease ( $25 \%$ decrease in real terms) relative to 2002 levels.

Annual payroll for employer establishments totaled over \$219 million, a $31 \%$ increase ( $1.7 \%$ decrease in real terms) relative to 2002 levels. Approximately 9,857 full- and part-time workers were employed by the 1,982 establishments in 2010, a $0.88 \%$ increase and a $11 \%$ decrease, respectively from 2002. The employer establishments for retail seafood sales were primarily
located in New York (394 firms), California (158 firms), and Florida (145 firms). Most non-employer firms in the retail sector were located in Florida (331), New York (247), and California (210).

## Transport, Support, and Marine Operations

In the U.S. transport, support, and marine operations industry sector, industries involved in marina activities had the highest number of establishments. In 2010, there were over 3,900 marina industries that employed 27,000 full- and part-time workers. Compared to 2002 levels, this was a $2.1 \%$ decrease in establishment numbers and a $16 \%$ increase in number of employees.

Annual payroll for this industry was $\$ 927$ million in 2010, a $37 \%$ increase ( $3.3 \%$ increase in real terms) over 2002 levels. Most of these marina industries were located in California (236235 industries), Florida (159025), and New York (98499).

## Commercial Fisheries

United States
2011 Economic Impacts of the United States Seafood Industry (thousands of dollars)

|  | With Imports |  |  | Without Imports |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Impacts | Jobs |  | Sales |  | Value Added | Jobs |
| Commercial Harvesters | $1,233,204$ | $129,386,335$ | $55,321,482$ | 786,505 | $52,870,191$ | $27,489,114$ |
| Seafood Processors \& Dealers | 186,726 | $14,148,340$ | $7,351,409$ | 186,726 | $14,148,340$ | $7,351,409$ |
| Importers | 198,001 | $27,231,326$ | $11,946,661$ | 59,752 | $8,309,304$ | $3,645,377$ |
| Seafood Wholesalers \& Distributors | 176,037 | $48,424,097$ | $14,761,785$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Retail | 54,273 | $7,478,706$ | $3,516,426$ | 27,711 | $3,818,492$ | $1,795,423$ |

Total Landings Revenue and Landings Revenue of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of dollars)

|  | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total revenue | 3,164,209 | 3,346,066 | 3,769,942 | 3,952,692 | 4,041,780 | 4,203,688 | 4,394,152 | 3,927,630 | 4,511,633 | 5,338,063 |
| Finfish \& other | 1,374,489 | 1,518,330 | 1,777,802 | 1,860,060 | 1,950,757 | 2,068,233 | 2,254,846 | 1,887,456 | 2,183,578 | 2,590,197 |
| Shellfish | 1,789,720 | 1,827,736 | 1,992,140 | 2,092,632 | 2,091,023 | 2,135,455 | 2,139,306 | 2,040,174 | 2,328,055 | 2,747,866 |
| American lobster | 293,894 | 283,516 | 374,306 | 415,415 | 395,150 | 367,500 | 326,814 | 310,370 | 399,476 | 423,354 |
| Blue crab | 146,974 | 153,685 | 145,905 | 140,818 | 126,043 | 148,866 | 160,682 | 163,159 | 205,683 | 181,842 |
| Menhaden | 81,607 | 71,988 | 75,045 | 62,520 | 69,683 | 92,725 | 90,996 | 99,092 | 107,130 | 143,679 |
| Pacific halibut | 136,789 | 172,846 | 176,893 | 177,599 | 202,163 | 227,348 | 217,726 | 140,613 | 207,233 | 213,518 |
| Pacific salmon | 156,194 | 198,946 | 302,775 | 330,816 | 310,865 | 381,589 | 395,253 | 369,744 | 554,798 | 618,300 |
| Sablefish | 77,637 | 102,983 | 99,153 | 101,759 | 109,026 | 106,504 | 121,869 | 123,231 | 137,573 | 188,217 |
| Sea scallop | 202,092 | 229,097 | 320,039 | 432,514 | 384,758 | 386,044 | 370,057 | 376,331 | 455,694 | 585,090 |
| Shrimp | 523,882 | 441,622 | 446,043 | 412,718 | 454,610 | 429,993 | 444,522 | 379,152 | 416,976 | 535,509 |
| Tunas | 85,473 | 86,818 | 89,952 | 86,358 | 86,760 | 93,875 | 106,867 | 96,072 | 108,257 | 136,004 |
| Walleye pollock | 203,263 | 203,018 | 271,612 | 306,906 | 329,879 | 297,460 | 323,212 | 270,595 | 282,399 | 362,592 |

Total Landings and Landings of Key Species/Species Groups (thousands of pounds)

|  | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total landings | 9,436,477 | 9,505,337 | 9,688,745 | 9,712,427 | 9,484,055 | 9,309,281 | 8,357,614 | 8,060,769 | 8,248,510 | 9,867,148 |
| Finfish \& other | 8,232,370 | 8,367,711 | 8,516,634 | 8,630,877 | 8,303,972 | 8,227,911 | 7,290,705 | 6,792,319 | 6,948,622 | 8,499,132 |
| Shellfish | 1,204,107 | 1,137,626 | 1,172,111 | 1,081,550 | 1,180,083 | 1,081,370 | 1,066,909 | 1,268,450 | 1,299,888 | 1,368,016 |
| American lobster | 83,087 | 71,683 | 90,073 | 87,809 | 92,609 | 80,842 | 88,106 | 100,507 | 116,248 | 126,264 |
| Blue crab | 175,574 | 170,890 | 174,561 | 159,242 | 166,133 | 156,599 | 162,192 | 176,184 | 199,334 | 199,149 |
| Menhaden | 1,755,398 | 1,590,510 | 1,495,240 | 1,243,807 | 1,304,250 | 1,484,230 | 1,344,468 | 1,570,733 | 1,473,329 | 1,874,995 |
| Pacific halibut | 80,977 | 78,862 | 79,181 | 76,264 | 71,897 | 69,967 | 67,000 | 59,812 | 56,460 | 42,877 |
| Pacific salmon | 561,489 | 669,998 | 738,746 | 899,759 | 663,567 | 886,054 | 659,196 | 705,063 | 787,712 | 780,066 |
| Sablefish | 40,734 | 47,998 | 52,851 | 51,296 | 46,842 | 43,884 | 43,314 | 42,826 | 40,318 | 41,284 |
| Sea scallop | 52,672 | 55,968 | 64,108 | 56,626 | 59,013 | 58,450 | 53,385 | 58,003 | 57,529 | 59,112 |
| Shrimp | 345,249 | 324,170 | 316,566 | 264,163 | 337,012 | 273,636 | 248,609 | 305,701 | 262,295 | 310,570 |
| Tunas | 49,632 | 61,762 | 56,323 | 44,252 | 49,923 | 50,642 | 47,878 | 49,062 | 48,001 | 49,708 |
| Walleye pollock | 3,333,647 | 3,361,261 | 3,353,236 | 3,410,065 | 3,400,810 | 3,066,600 | 2,276,144 | 1,866,171 | 1,947,578 | 2,810,787 |

## Average Annual Price of Key Species/Species Groups (dollars per pound)

| 2002 |  | 2003 | 2004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| American lobster | 3.54 | 3.96 | 4.16 | 4.73 | 4.27 | 4.55 | 3.71 | 3.09 | 3.44 | 3.35 |
| Blue crab | 0.84 | 0.90 | 0.84 | 0.88 | 0.76 | 0.95 | 0.99 | 0.93 | 1.03 | 0.91 |
| Menhaden | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.08 |
| Pacific halibut | 1.69 | 2.19 | 2.23 | 2.33 | 2.81 | 3.25 | 3.25 | 2.35 | 3.67 | 4.98 |
| Pacific salmon | 0.28 | 0.30 | 0.41 | 0.37 | 0.47 | 0.43 | 0.60 | 0.52 | 0.70 | 0.79 |
| Sablefish | 1.91 | 2.15 | 1.88 | 1.98 | 2.33 | 2.43 | 2.81 | 2.88 | 3.41 | 4.56 |
| Sea scallop | 3.84 | 4.09 | 4.99 | 7.64 | 6.52 | 6.60 | 6.93 | 6.49 | 7.92 | 9.90 |
| Shrimp | 1.52 | 1.36 | 1.41 | 1.56 | 1.35 | 1.57 | 1.79 | 1.24 | 1.59 | 1.72 |
| Tunas | 1.72 | 1.41 | 1.60 | 1.95 | 1.74 | 1.85 | 2.23 | 1.96 | 2.26 | 2.74 |
| Walleye pollock | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.13 |

2011 Economic Impacts of Recreational Fishing Expenditures (thousands of dollars)

| Trip Impacts by Fishing Mode: | Jobs | Sales | Income | Value Added |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| For-Hire |  |  |  |  |
| Private Boat | 22,806 | $2,537,215$ | 804,012 | $1,377,196$ |
| Shore | 33,720 | $4,589,856$ | $1,356,127$ | $2,334,562$ |
| Total Durable Equipment Impacts | 28,740 | $3,512,042$ | $1,074,793$ | $1,826,550$ |
| Total State Trip and Durable Equipment Economic Impacts | 369,277 | $59,676,104$ | $17,283,585$ | $26,933,452$ |

2011 Angler Trip \& Durable Expenditures (thousands of dollars) ${ }^{1}$

| Fishing Mode | Trip Expenditures |  | Equipment | Durable Expenditures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non-Residents | Residents | Fishing Tackle | 3,829,739 |
| For-Hire | NA | 1,011,001 | Other Equipment | 1,406,941 |
| Private Boat | NA | 2,027,441 | Boat Expenses | 10,865,232 |
| Shore | NA | 1,481,376 | Vehicle Expenses | 4,050,431 |
| Total Trip Expenditures | NA | 4,519,818 | Second Home Expenses | 2,111,150 |
|  |  |  | Total Durable Equipment Expenditures | 22,263,493 |
| Total State Trip and Durable Equipment Expenditures |  |  |  | 26,783,311 |

Recreational Anglers by Residential Area (thousands of anglers) ${ }^{2}$

|  | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coastal | 8,608 | 10,434 | 10,199 | 11,330 | 11,644 | 12,389 | 10,725 | 9,408 | 9,557 | 9,183 |
| Non-Coastal | 1,372 | 1,562 | 1,579 | 1,492 | 1,685 | 1,616 | 1,591 | 1,747 | 1,502 | 1,428 |
| Total Anglers | 9,981 | 11,996 | 11,779 | 12,822 | 13,329 | 14,005 | 12,316 | 11,155 | 11,059 | 10,611 |

Recreational Fishing Effort by Mode (thousands of angler-trips) ${ }^{2}$

|  | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| For-Hire | 3,197 | 3,244 | 3,424 | 3,524 | 3,734 | 4,173 | 3,416 | 3,281 | 2,597 | 3,179 |
| Private | 38,525 | 45,013 | 44,007 | 43,249 | 42,719 | 46,465 | 44,912 | 37,647 | 37,760 | 35,321 |
| Shore | 30,437 | 36,199 | 38,015 | 37,345 | 38,694 | 37,021 | 37,220 | 33,631 | 32,107 | 31,694 |
| Total Trips | 72,159 | 84,456 | 85,446 | 84,118 | 85,147 | 87,659 | 85,548 | 74,559 | 72,464 | 70,194 |

Harvest (H) and Release (R) of Key Species Species Groups (thousands of fish) ${ }^{3}$

|  |  | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Drum (Atlantic croaker and spot) | H | 17,836 | 20,879 | 19,793 | 20,352 | 22,936 | 26,567 | 24,020 | 15,762 | 13,355 | 13,319 |
|  | R | 16,436 | 18,203 | 17,821 | 23,760 | 19,371 | 21,365 | 24,974 | 20,374 | 15,981 | 18,092 |
| Drum (seatrouts) |  | 13,943 | 15,228 | 16,947 | 16,095 | 18,903 | 17,559 | 21,081 | 20,187 | 16,743 | 22,234 |
|  | R | 22,458 | 25,552 | 27,214 | 30,632 | 30,351 | 28,970 | 32,349 | 25,807 | 23,936 | 28,649 |
| Little tunny \& Atlantic bonito ${ }^{4}$ | H | 321 | 254 | 405 | 179 | 313 | 293 | 204 | 231 | 190 | 281 |
|  | R | 1,020 | 865 | 1,099 | 464 | 868 | 1,221 | 725 | 807 | 597 | 703 |
| Pacific halibut | H | 351 | 403 | 483 | 500 | 463 | 585 | 516 | 440 | 398 | 394 |
|  | R | 233 | 290 | 369 | 380 | 353 | 438 | 359 | 321 | 304 | 311 |
| Rockfishes \& scorpionfishes | H | 2,856 | 3,742 | 2,593 | 3,617 | 2,677 | 2,454 | 2,068 | 2,199 | NA | NA |
|  | R | 1,065 | 1,796 | 977 | 1,347 | 895 | 691 | 636 | 836 | NA | NA |
| Salmon | H | 1,321 | 1,626 | 1,569 | 1,481 | 873 | 1,286 | 722 | 1,574 | NA | NA |
|  | R | 692 | 881 | 1,010 | 844 | 513 | 710 | 375 | 659 | NA | NA |
| Sharks ${ }^{5}$ | H | 156 | 168 | 148 | 203 | 131 | 144 | 108 | 126 | 157 | 104 |
|  | R | 2,076 | 2,796 | 3,052 | 3,983 | 3,507 | 3,954 | 4,134 | 3,980 | 4,012 | 2,574 |
| Striped bass | H | 1,891 | 2,579 | 2,617 | 2,488 | 2,740 | 2,438 | 2,341 | 1,990 | 1,973 | 2,249 |
|  | R | 13,971 | 14,996 | 17,480 | 18,227 | 23,415 | 16,218 | 12,695 | 8,120 | 6,355 | 6,174 |
| Summer flounder | H | 3,280 | 4,574 | 4,389 | 4,107 | 4,034 | 3,105 | 2,363 | 1,829 | 1,509 | 1,844 |
|  |  | 13,417 | 15,974 | 16,055 | 21,869 | 17,511 | 17,627 | 20,547 | 22,297 | 22,230 | 19,723 |
| Tunas (large Atlantic species) ${ }^{6}$ | H | 428 | 889 | 772 | 667 | 566 | 729 | 799 | 530 | 594 | 421 |
|  | R | 28 | 112 | 132 | 109 | 135 | 95 | 87 | 53 | 51 | 69 |

[^6]United States's State Economy (\% of national total)

|  | Establishments | Employees | Annual Payroll <br> (million \$) | Employee <br> Compensation <br> (million \$) | Gross State <br> Product (million <br> \$) | Commercial |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2002 | $7,200,770$ | $112,400,654$ | $3,943,180$ | $6,099,602$ | $10,572,388$ |  |
| 2010 | $7,396,628$ | $111,970,095$ | $4,940,983$ | $7,952,204$ | $14,416,601$ | 1 |
| $\%$ change | $2.72 \%$ | $-0.383 \%$ | $25.3 \%$ | $30.4 \%$ | $36.4 \%$ | 1 |

Seafood Sales \& Processing - Nonemployer Firms (thousands of dollars)

|  |  | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seafood product prep. \& packaging | Firms | 903 | 1,038 | 1,110 | 1,080 | 1,142 | 1,303 | 1,308 | 1,383 | 1,617 |
|  | Receipts | 55,750 | 70,071 | 81,871 | 78,745 | 80,066 | 88,230 | 89,670 | 92,358 | 104,990 |
| Seafood Sales, retail | Firms | 2,210 | 2,346 | 2,260 | 2,098 | 2,089 | 2,610 | 2,522 | 2,407 | 2,513 |
|  | Receipts | 199,937 | 210,231 | 210,450 | 203,951 | 211,186 | 231,776 | 233,002 | 198,495 | 199,810 |

Seafood Sales \& Processing - Employer Establishments (thousands of dollars)

|  |  | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seafood product prep. \& packaging | Establishments | 754 | 764 | 734 | 717 | 670 | 685 | 663 | 645 | 638 |
|  | Employees | 38,663 | 39,580 | 38,102 | 37,684 | 35,894 | 33,169 | 33,323 | 30,894 | 31,789 |
|  | Payroll | 1,092,500 | 1,177,582 | 1,151,780 | 1,180,396 | 1,205,890 | 1,196,086 | 1,161,637 | 1,091,727 | 1,116,305 |
| Seafood sales, wholesale | Establishments | 2,883 | 2,456 | 2,330 | 2,314 | 2,222 | 2,438 | 2,063 | 2,099 | 2,183 |
|  | Employees | 26,719 | 23,091 | 22,501 | 22,666 | 22,013 | 24,232 | 20,116 | 19,290 | 19,386 |
|  | Payroll | 895,718 | 743,479 | 771,749 | 781,459 | 826,720 | 924,654 | 782,178 | 758,332 | 798,794 |
| Seafood sales, retail | Establishments | 2,238 | 2,125 | 2,151 | 2,155 | 2,115 | 2,094 | 2,044 | 1,967 | 1,982 |
|  | Employees | 9,771 | 10,346 | 10,714 | 10,381 | 10,545 | 10,380 | 9,732 | 9,439 | 9,857 |
|  | Payroll | 167,634 | 186,087 | 192,187 | 194,602 | 200,971 | 209,404 | 205,423 | 211,264 | 219,045 |

Transport, Support, \& Marine Operations - Employer Establishments (thousands of dollars)

|  |  | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coastal \& Great Lakes freight transportation | Establishments | 520 | 606 | 579 | 610 | 579 | 573 | 513 | 513 | 547 |
|  | Employees | 20,149 | 22,449 | 21,928 | 21,025 | 22,172 | 22,568 | 21,019 | 20,919 | 17,528 |
|  | Payroll | 1,096,771 | 1,183,071 | 1,179,549 | 1,232,342 | 1,376,033 | 1,552,467 | 1,694,613 | 1,470,159 | 1,288,001 |
| Deep sea freight transportation | Establishments | 471 | 472 | 435 | 465 | 456 | 427 | 365 | 376 | 372 |
|  | Employees | 12,916 | 12,175 | 11,314 | 11,357 | 11,473 | 11,308 | 10,231 | 11,180 | 10,288 |
|  | Payroll | 784,149 | 734,781 | 735,804 | 801,863 | 825,752 | 855,683 | 852,063 | 863,363 | 867,797 |
| Marinas | Establishments | 4,021 | 4,150 | 4,092 | 4,143 | 4,025 | 4,085 | 3,972 | 3,891 | 3,937 |
|  | Employees | 23,047 | 27,928 | 28,100 | 27,511 | 28,339 | 28,788 | 28,686 | 26,643 | 26,657 |
|  | Payroll | 675,529 | 773,538 | 814,821 | 839,848 | 894,097 | 945,355 | 954,032 | 905,488 | 927,499 |
| Marine cargo handling | Establishments | 595 | 542 | 551 | 549 | 540 | 552 | 532 | 541 | 507 |
|  | Employees | 50,428 | 50,644 | 58,618 | 59,670 | 61,905 | 62,941 | 63,736 | 56,386 | 57,275 |
|  | Payroll | 2,425,187 | 2,422,537 | 2,899,703 | 3,034,672 | 3,261,953 | 3,428,126 | 3,272,723 | 2,776,791 | 3,026,861 |
| Navigational services to shipping | Establishments | 828 | 782 | 804 | 803 | 802 | 830 | 868 | 846 | 847 |
|  | Employees | 11,224 | 11,795 | 11,881 | 10,819 | 12,043 | 12,997 | 13,419 | 12,689 | 13,529 |
|  | Payroll | 509,953 | 629,541 | 591,510 | 584,689 | 699,375 | 756,552 | 847,938 | 826,384 | 937,980 |
| Port \& harbor operations | Establishments | 212 | 223 | 234 | 244 | 229 | 223 | 268 | 258 | 287 |
|  | Employees | 6,304 | 6,413 | 6,888 | 7,453 | 7,002 | 6,573 | 5,608 | 5,100 | 4,844 |
|  | Payroll | 245,979 | 279,970 | 300,692 | 319,338 | 323,554 | 318,608 | 282,671 | 250,358 | 290,467 |
| Ship \& boat building | Establishments | 1,736 | 1,739 | 1,793 | 1,799 | 1,764 | 1,771 | 1,782 | 1,615 | 1,540 |
|  | Employees | 131,292 | 133,395 | 137,633 | 141,620 | 142,057 | 148,864 | 157,512 | 137,759 | 127,691 |
|  | Payroll | 5,111,708 | 5,119,596 | 5,499,783 | 5,654,818 | 5,877,830 | 6,405,570 | 7,269,306 | 6,674,187 | 6,529,523 |

[^7]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Fishery management plans and fishery ecosystem plans for each region covered in this report are listed in their respective sections. The Caribbean region and its four FMPs are not currently included in this report. These FMPs are developed by the Caribbean Fishery Management Council (San Juan, Puerto Rico). In addition, the Atlantic Highly Migratory Species FMP is not listed in this report. This FMP is developed by the Office of Sustainable Fisheries at NOAA Fisheries Headquarters (Silver Spring, MD).
    ${ }^{2}$ Fish Stock Sustainability Index (FSSI) - 2012 Quarter 3 Update through September 30, 2012. The NOAA Fisheries Office of Sustainable Fisheries. http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/sfa/statusoffisheries/SOSmain.htm
    ${ }^{3}$ http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/ia/agreements/regional_agreements/intlagree.html

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ An additional 33 vessels with unknown country affiliation also participate in IUU fishing activities.
    ${ }^{2}$ Subspecies includes distinct population segments and evolutionarily significant units, terms defined under the ESA.
    ${ }^{3}$ The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service provides protection for walrus, manatees, otters, and polar bears.
    ${ }^{4}$ The 1996 reauthorization of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery-Conservation and Management Act included this requirement.
    ${ }^{5}$ The Habitat Assessment Improvement Plan is available at: http://www.st.nmfs.noaa.gov/st4/documents/HabitatAssesmentImprovementPlan_ 052110. PDF

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Section 303(A) of the Magnuson-Stevens Act for more information
    ${ }^{2}$ For more information about LAPPs and other catch share programs, please see Excess Harvesting Capacity in U.S. Fisheries: A Report to Congress available at:www.nmfs.noaa.gov/msa2007/docs/042808_312_b_6_report.pdf and National Assessment of Excess Harvesting Capacity in Federally Managed Commercial Fisheries available at: http://spo.nmfs.noaa.gov/tm/spo93.pdf.
    ${ }^{3}$ http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/sfa/domes_fish/catchshare/index.htm
    ${ }^{4}$ This total excludes three buyback programs associated with Northwest Pacific salmon disasters in 1994, 1995, and 1998 because data were not available.
    ${ }^{5}$ For more information about the Marine Stewardship Council and its certification process is available at: http://www.msc.org/track-a-fishery/ certified.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ In earlier years, the NMFS Commercial Fishing \& Seafood Industry Input/Output Model did not separate out the import sector but rather only included the commercial harvester, seafood processors and dealers, seafood wholesalers and distributors and retail sectors. Note that 2007 and 2008 estimates have been updated using the newer version of the model. For more information, see: www.st.nmfs.noaa.gov/documents/commercial_seafood_impacts_ 2007-2009.pdf

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ The number of trips is in thousands and excludes Alaska and Texas.
    ${ }^{2}$ Participation estimates do not include Alaska and Texas. Hawai'i is included for 2003-2011; Numbers include the Caribbean.
    ${ }^{3}$ Effort numbers do not include Alaska and Texas. They include Hawai'i only for 2003-2010. California numbers were estimated differently from 2004-2011.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Information for 2010 is reported in this section; 2011 data were not available for this report.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ All anglers reported in this table are U.S. residents; NA $=$ not applicable
    ${ }^{2}$ Information was included for all states but Alaska and Texas. Most information was provided by the Marine Recreational Information Program (MRIP).
    Pacific data were provided by the Pacific states and Hawaii data were not included from 2000 to 2002.
    ${ }^{3}$ This table excludes all Texas data and Hawaii data for 2002.
    ${ }^{4}$ This species may not be equivalent to species with similar names listed in the commercial tables.
    ${ }^{5}$ Sharks include species within the requiem shark family, blacktip sharks, Atlantic sharpnose sharks, and unidentified sharks.
    ${ }^{6}$ Includes all tunas in the thunnus family.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ The U.S. Commercial Fishing Location Quotient (CFLQ) of 1.0 represents the national baseline from which state CFLQs can be compared.

